10 Promising Practices to reduce social inequities in health:
What does the evidence tell us?

Promising Practice #1: Targeting With Universalism

Every citizen deserves the opportunity to be healthy and to practise healthy behaviours. Thus, health promotion and protection programs and services endeavour to ensure that everyone has access to programs and services. Services designed for general access—by everyone, in the same way—constitute a universal approach.

However, evidence shows that individuals who benefit most from “universal” health programs and services are often those who have more money, more time, more social support, higher literacy, and better preceding health. In some cases, universal programs may increase health inequities such that the health of those who are socially advantaged improves more than the health of those who are socially disadvantaged. In their *Levelling up* discussion papers, Dahlgren and Whitehead explain that a “levelling-up” approach is necessary to disproportionally improve the health of more disadvantaged groups while at the same time improving the health of the entire population.1, 2

Targeting within universal programming can be focused on priority populations within a universal strategy. For example, universal interventions can be adjusted to increase accessibility for certain groups, or specific strategies can be developed to address inequalities in the social determinants of health. This fine tuning of programs increases the likelihood that those who are at greater risk of adverse health receive the greatest benefit. As a result, the health of the entire population improves, but the health of priority populations improves faster—reducing health inequities.

1. Targeting With Universalism
2. Purposeful Reporting
3. Social Marketing
4. Health Equity Target Setting
5. Equity-Focused Health Impact Assessment
6. Competencies/Organizational Standards
7. Contribution to Evidence Base
8. Early Childhood Development
9. Community Engagement
10. Intersectoral Action

As part of a Canadian Health Services Research Foundation Fellowship (Executive Training in Research Application – EXTRA), the Sudbury & District Health Unit conducted a review and analysis of the literature for practices to reduce social inequities in health. These fact sheets present the 10 practices, relevant at the local public health level, that were found to be “promising” in their potential to “level-up” and reduce health inequities.

The complete EXTRA Fellowship reports are available at www.sdhu.com.
Practice #1 example: Sudbury & District Health Unit School Health Programming

The School Health Promotion Team at the Sudbury & District Health Unit (SDHU) has adopted a new approach to working with area schools. Following recommendations from the EXTRA Research Fellowship, the team implemented a “targeted within universal approach” delivery model. Dahlgren and Whitehead \(^1, 2\) describe the need to improve disproportionately the health of more disadvantaged groups through targeting, while at the same time improving the health of the entire population. In partnership and consultation with local school boards, select schools now receive an intensive level of tailored public health programs beyond the universal programs and services offered to all schools. This programming includes the “Can You Feel It?” youth engagement program. The program provides students with skill building opportunities and supportive relationships with peers, school staff, families, and community agencies through extra-curricular networks and activities. The program builds student resilience by focusing on their inherent strengths and resources.

What helps me apply targeting with universalism in practice?
- skills and competencies in population health assessment and surveillance
- expertise in social marketing methods that enable better understanding of the needs and motivators of priority populations

What makes targeting with universalism challenging?
- accurately identifying priority populations
- engaging priority populations in targeted programs and services
- establishing broad community support for targeted programs
- needing to balance time and resources between targeted and universal programs

Useful Links

*Concepts and Principles for Tackling Social Inequities in Health: Levelling Up Part 1.* Margaret Whitehead and Göran Dahlgren. 2006


*Can You Feel It?* Sudbury & District Health Unit School Program Resources

*Priority Populations Primer.* Sudbury & District Health Unit. 2009
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For an accessible version of this document, please visit: https://www.sdhu.com/health-topics-programs/health-equity/10-promising-practices-health-equity

To learn more about health equity and ways we can all help reduce social inequities in health:

Contact:
Information Resource Centre
Resources, Research, Evaluation and Development Division
Sudbury & District Health Unit
1300 Paris Street
Sudbury, ON P3E 3A3
705.522.9200, ext. 350
resourcecentre@sdhu.com

Visit: www.sdhu.com
Watch our video: Let’s Start a Conversation About Health . . . and Not Talk About Health Care at All

Last updated: May 2012