Board of Health Manual Public Health Sudbury & Districts

Policy

Category Board of Health Structure & Function

Section Management

Subject Enterprise Risk Management

Number C-III-12

Approved By

Board of Health

Original Date

October 20, 2016

Revised Date

June 21, 2018

Review Date

November 18, 2021

Purpose

Public Health Sudbury & Districts shall have a risk management framework based on a risk management process developed by the Ontario Internal Audit Division of the Treasury Board Secretariat. The framework will ensure risks are identified and will ensure that monitoring and response systems are in place at Public Health Sudbury & Districts to effectively respond to these risks.

The Board of Health shall set the tone that systematic, integrated risk management is valuable for managing risks and for demonstrating accountability to stakeholders.

The Board of Health supports the following principles:

- Risk management is an essential component of good management.
- Risk management is imbedded into the culture and operations of the health unit.
- Better decisions are made when supported by a disciplined approach to risk management.
- Risk management activities should be aligned with strategic objectives at all levels of the organization.

- Risk management should be integrated into informed decision making and priority setting and should become part of day-to-day management activities.
- Threats should be managed, and opportunities leveraged as appropriate and in accordance with best practices.
- The agency's risk should be re-assessed regularly, and risk and mitigation strategies should be reported on regularly.
- Through the risk management process, the agency should anticipate and respond to changing social, environmental and legislative requirements.
- The integration of risk management into decision making should be supported by a corporate philosophy and culture that encourages everyone to manage risk and to communicate openly about risk.
- Every employee has a role to play in risk management.

Process:

The Board of Health approves the risk management framework (see Appendix A) and establishes its risk appetite in relation to specific risks. These are documented in the Risk Management Risk Assessment and Heat Map (see Appendix B).

The Board receives and reviews an annual report of risks and mitigation strategies of currently identified risks. A comprehensive risk management review will occur every three years to ensure that identified risks are still relevant to the organization and reflective of community and political contexts.

Definitions:

Risk: Risk is an uncertain event or condition that, if it occurs, has an effect on the achievement of objectives. It includes both threats to the objectives and opportunities to improve on the objectives Adapted from Project Management Institute PMBoK 2000

Enterprise Risk Management: A holistic and integrated risk management process that takes a strategic view of risk across the whole organization or enterprise.

Risk Management: A systematic approach to setting the best course of action under uncertainty by identifying, understanding, acting on, monitoring and communicating risk issues.

Risk Appetite: The amount and type of risk that the organization is willing to take in order to meet strategic objectives.

Risk Management Framework: Establishes a process for implementation of effective risk management practices at all levels of the organization. The Public Health Sudbury & Districts Risk Management Framework, which follows the five step risk management process developed by the Treasury Board Secretariat, articulates a five-step approach to risk management which provides the flexibility to manage risks accordingly.

Risk Management Plan: The organization's risk management plan includes the implementation of effective risk management processes and strategies to actively respond to change and uncertainty in a timely manner and to demonstrate accountability to stakeholders.

Appendix A: Public Health Sudbury & Districts' Risk Management Framework

Summary

The purpose of this risk management framework is to establish a process for implementation of effective risk management practices at all levels of the organization. This framework, which follows the five step risk management process developed by the Treasury Board Secretariat, articulates a five-step approach to risk management which provides the flexibility to manage risks accordingly.

The risk management policy is aimed at fulfilling risk management requirements set out within the 2018 Ontario Public Health Standards' Organizational Requirements.

Philosophy Statement

Public Health Sudbury & Districts is committed to fostering an environment that supports a continuous quality improvement approach to organizational effectiveness. This includes the implementation of effective risk management processes and strategies to actively respond to change and uncertainty in a timely manner and to demonstrate accountability to stakeholders.

Background

Public Health Sudbury & Districts acknowledges that there is an element of risk in any decision or activity and risk taking may be deemed acceptable when appropriately managed. Risk is defined as:

Risk is an uncertain event or condition that, if it occurs, has an effect on the achievement of objectives. It includes both threats to the objectives and opportunities to improve on the objectives.

Adapted from Project Management Institute PMBoK 2000

The 2018 Ontario Public Health Organizational Requirements mandate Board of Health stewardship and oversight of risk management. The Medical Officer of Health, and through delegation to all staff, has the responsibility to monitor and respond to emerging issues and potential threats to the organization. Potential threats include but are not limited to; financial, human resources, operational, technology and legal risks.



Step 3: Assess Risks & Controls

Assess inherent risks

Inherent likelihood - Without any mitigation, how likely is this risk? Inherent impact - Without any mitigation, how big will be the impact of the risk on your objective?

Assess controls

Evaluate possible preventive, detective, or corrective mitigation strategies.

Reassess residual risks

- Re-assess the impact, likelihood and proximity of the risk with mitigation strategies in place.
- Residual likelihood <u>With</u> mitigation strategies in place, how likely is this risk? Residual impact <u>With</u> mitigation strategies in place, how big an impact will this risk have on
- your objective?

5

- Step 4: Evaluate & Take Action
 - Identify risk owners
 - Identify control owners Have mitigation strategies reduced the risk rating (Impact x

.

.

monitor risks as they occur.

- Likelihool) enough that the risk is below approved risk Likelihool) enough that the risk is below approved risk tolerance levels? Do you need to implement further mitigation strategies? Develop SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable,
- Realistic. Time-specific) actions that will either reduce the
- Develop detailed action plans with timelines, responsibilities and outline deliveries.

Definitions

Belinkions					
VALUE	LIKELIHOOD	IMPACT	PROXIMITY	SCALE	
1	Unlikely to occur	Negligible Impact	More than 36 months	Very Low	
2	May occur occasionally	Minor impact on time, cost or quality	12 to 24 months	Low	
3	Is as likely as not to occur	Notable impact on time, cost or quality	6 to 12 months	Medium	
4	Is likely to occur	Substantial impact on time, cost or quality	Less than 6 months	High	
5	Is almost certain to occur	Threatens the success of the project	Now	Very High	

Key Risk Indicators (KRI) Leading Indicators - Early or leading indicators that measure sources or causes to help prevent risk occurrences Lagging Indicators - Detection and performance indicators that help

Risk Tolerance The amount of risk that the area being assessed can manage Risk Appetite The amount of risk that the area being assessed is willing to manage

The tolerance and risk appetite values may differ e.g. Staff can afford to lose email capabilities for five hours (risk tolerance) but only be willing to lose email capabilities for one hour (risk appetite).

Step 5: Monitor & Report

Have processes in place to review risk

levels and risk mitigation strategies as

Have risks changed? How?
Are there new risks? Assess them
Do you need to report or escalate risks? To whom? When? How?

Develop and monitor risk indicators

appropriate. Monitor and update by asking:



LIKELIHOOD

RISK PRIORITIZATION MATRIX

Page 4 of 5

1

Appendix B: Public Health Sudbury & Districts Organizational Risk Assessment and Heat Map

Public Health Sudbury & Districts Organizational Risk Assessment				
Overall Objective:				
Subordinate Objective:				
Risk Categories				
1. Financial Risks				
2. Governance / Organizational Risks				
3. Human Resources				
a. numan Resources				
4. Knowledge / Information				
4. Knowledge / Information				
5. Technology				
6. Legal / Compliance				
7. Service Delivery / Operational				
8. Environment				
9. Political				
10. Stakeholder / Public Perception				
11. Strategic / Policy				
12. Security Risks				
13. Privacy Risks				
14. Equity Risks				

Organizational Risks: Heat Map of Current Residual Risks

